





# **Optimization Owner**

# Owens Corning

One Owens Corning Parkway, Toledo, OH, USA 1-800-GET-PINK (1-800-438-7465) www.owenscorning.com

#### Product

FOAMULAR® NGX® XPS Insulation

#### **Functional Unit**

 $1 \text{ m}^2$  of insulation with a thickness required for an average thermal resistance RSI =  $1 \text{ m}^2\text{K/W}$  maintained for 75 years

# **Optimization Number**

SCS-OPT-09753

## **Period of Validity**

February 13, 2024 through February 12, 2028 Version Date: February 17, 2024

### **Program Operator**

SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 +1.510.452.8000 | www.SCSglobalServices.com



C	ptimization Report Owner:	Owens Corning		
	Address:	One Owens Corning Parkway, Toledo, OH, USA		
	Optimization Number:	SCS-OPT-09753		
	Validity Period:	February 13, 2024 through February 12, 2028		
	Version Date:	February 17, 2024		
	Product Type:	Insulation		
	Product Name:	FOAMULAR® NGX® XPS Insulation		
	Document Link:	https://www.scsglobalservices.com/certified-green-products- guide?pd_pid=54583		
	Validation Period:	January 10, 2024 through January 9, 2029		
Optimized EPD Information	Program Operator:	SCS Global Services		
	LCA Software:	SimaPro 9.5.0.0		
	LCA Practitioner:	Rémi Bagard (Owens Corning)		
	Document Link:	https://transparencycatalog.com/assets/uploads/pdf/Owens- Corning EPD FOAMULAR-XPS-Insulation-2020.pdf FOAMULAR® XPS Insulation UL Declaration Number 4788721182.101.1		
Baseline EPD Information	Validation Period:	January 1, 2019 – January 1, 2024		
	Program Operator:	UL		
	LCA Software:	SimaPro 8.5.2.0		
	LCA Practitioner:	John Augustine & Cheryl Smith (Owens Corning)		
	Functional/Declared Unit:	1 m² of insulation with a thickness required for an average thermal resistance RSI = 1 m²K/W maintained for 75 years		
Reference PCR:		PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services Part B: Building Envelope Thermal Insulation EPD Requirements. Version 3.0. April 2023		
Independent critical review of the Optimization Report:		□ internal ⊠ external		
Verif	ier of Optimization Report:	Beth Cassese, SCS Global Services		
Opt	imization Report Contents:	Introduction     Summary of Results     Impact Reduction Narrative     References		

Disclaimers: This Optimization Report conforms to the LEED v4.1 Guidance for MR Credit: Environmental Product Declarations, Option 2.

**Scope of Results Reported:** The PCR requirements limit the scope of the LCA metrics such that the results exclude environmental and social performance benchmarks and thresholds, and exclude impacts from the depletion of natural resources, land use ecological impacts, ocean impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions, risks from hazardous wastes and impacts linked to hazardous chemical emissions.

**Accuracy of Results:** Due to PCR constraints, the EPDs this report is based on provide estimations of potential impacts that are inherently limited in terms of accuracy.

**Comparability:** The PCR the referenced EPDs were based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.

In accordance with ISO 21930:2017, EPDs are comparable only if they comply with the core PCR, use the same sub-category PCR where applicable, include all relevant information modules and are based on equivalent scenarios with respect to the context of construction works.

# 1. Introduction

The LEED v4.1 Standard includes a credit for EPDs of permanently installed products in a LEED project, allowing for up to two points.

- One point for a project which includes 20 products from 5 different manufacturers providing qualified disclosure documentation such as life cycle assessments (LCAs) and/or environmental product declarations (EPDs).
- A second point is available for a project which includes 5 products from 3 different manufacturers with compliant embodied carbon optimization report or action plan separate from the LCA EPD.

The LEED v4.1 credit for Environmental Product Declarations, Option 2: Embodied Carbon/LCA Optimization, recognizes products which have achieved "optimization". To qualify for optimization, a manufacturer specific EPD must show reductions in environmental impact potentials. The amount of credits achieved depends on the amount of reductions in impact.

Table 1. LEED v4.1 credit for Environmental Product Declarations, Option 2 Optimization Credit Requirements.

Reduction Type	Reference Document(s) for the Optimization Report	Report Verification	Valuation
Embodied Carbon/LCA Action Plan	Product-specific LCA or product- specific Type III EPD	Prepared by the manufacturer and signed by company executive	½ product
Reductions in Embodied Carbon: < 10% reduction in GWP relative to baseline	Baseline: Product-specific LCA, product-specific Type III EPD, or Industry-wide Type III EPD  Optimized: Product-specific LCA or product-specific Type III EPD	Comparative analysis verified by an independent party	1 product
Reductions in Embodied Carbon: > 10% reduction in GWP relative to baseline	Baseline: Product-specific LCA, product-specific Type III EPD, or Industry-wide Type III EPD Optimized: Product-specific LCA or product-specific Type III EPD	Comparative analysis verified by an independent party	1.5 product
Reductions in Embodied Carbon:  > 20% reduction in GWP and > 5% reduction in two additional impact categories, relative to baseline	Baseline: Product-specific LCA or product-specific Type III EPD  Optimized: Product-specific LCA or product-specific Type III EPD	Comparative analysis verified by an independent party	2 products

Note: Reference documents for the optimization reports must be compliant with EPD Credit Option 1.

LCA/EPD based comparisons require the greatest degree of care in ensuring that the systems under comparison are treated equally and without bias. For example, parameters in LCA which need to be held constant for comparability to be achieved included:

- Equivalent functional unit
- Same background database
- Same LCA software
- Same Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) methods
- Parity of assumptions
- Same version of the Product Category Rule (PCR)
- Equivalent data quality requirements

The results from the baseline document were recalculated to use the same background database, ecoinvent 3.9.1; same LCA software, SimaPro 9.5.0.0; same LCIA methods, TRACI 2.1 v1.08, IPCC (2013); and the same version of the PCR. The functional units, assumptions and data quality requirements were equivalent in the baseline and optimized EPDs.

# 2. Summary of Results

As the LEED v4.1 EPD Optimization credit only applies to embodied carbon, the results presented in this section are for the A1-C4 Modules (from raw material extraction and processing to end of life), as the change of blowing agent affects A1-A3, B1 and C4.

## 2.1 LCIA Impact Categories

It is noted that LCA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins, or risks. The following environmental impact category indicators and characterization methods are used for optimization:

Table 2. Environmental Impact Assessment Categories.

Abbreviation	Impact Category	Unit	Characterization Method	
GWP 100a	Global Warming Potential	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	IPCC 2013 (AR5)	
ODP	Ozone Depletion Potential	kg CFC-11 eq	TRACI 2.1	
AP	Acidification Potential	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	TRACI 2.1	
EP	Eutrophication Potential	kg N eq	TRACI 2.1	
SFP	Smog (Tropospheric Ozone) Formation Potential	kg O₃ eq	TRACI 2.1	
ADP <sub>fossil</sub>	Abiotic Resource Depletion Potential of Non-renewable (fossil) energy resources (ADP $_{\text{fossil}}$ )	MJ, LHV	CML-baseline v4.7	

<sup>\*</sup>see LEED v4.1 Guidance for specified categories and units

#### 2.2 Baseline EPD Results

**Table 3.** Baseline (recalculated) EPD Results. 1  $m^2$  FOAMULAR® at  $R_{SI} = 1$ 

Impact Category	Unit	A1 – A3	A4	<b>A</b> 5	В1	C2	C4
GWP 100a	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	2.10E+01	1.78E-01	2.19E-03	2.69E+01	2.40E-02	6.76E+00
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	3.34E-05	2.94E-09	1.22E-11	0.00E+00	3.96E-10	1.47E-10
AP	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.41E-02	9.55E-04	3.78E-06	0.00E+00	1.29E-04	3.19E-05
EP	kg N eq	8.09E-04	8.04E-05	3.89E-07	0.00E+00	1.08E-05	2.73E-06
SFP	kg O₃ eq	2.09E-01	2.67E-02	1.06E-04	3.39E-04	3.60E-03	8.52E-04
ADP <sub>fossil</sub>	MJ, LHV	6.85E+01	2.49E+00	9.62E-03	0.00E+00	3.34E-01	1.16E-01

# 2.3 Optimized EPD Results

**Table 4.** Optimized EPD Results. 1  $m^2$  FOAMULAR® NGX® at  $R_{SI} = 1$ 

Impact Category	Unit	A1 <b>-</b> A3	A4	A5	B1	C2	C4
GWP 100a	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	6.58E+00	7.47E-02	1.82E-02	2.78E+00	1.30E-02	3.85E-01
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	2.00E-05	1.39E-09	1.53E-10	0.00E+00	2.41E-10	1.50E-10
AP	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.38E-02	1.76E-04	2.57E-05	0.00E+00	3.06E-05	3.25E-05
EP	kg N eq	8.28E-04	1.98E-05	7.48E-06	0.00E+00	3.44E-06	2.78E-06
SFP	kg O₃ eq	1.61E-01	3.16E-03	5.63E-04	3.52E-04	5.49E-04	9.06E-04
ADP <sub>fossil</sub>	MJ, LHV	6.52E+01	1.11E+00	1.21E-01	0.00E+00	1.93E-01	1.19E-01

### 2.4 Optimization Results

Table 5. Optimization Results.

Impact Category	Unit	Baseline (recalculated) EPD A1-C4	Optimized EPD A1-C4	% Change A1-C4
GWP 100a	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	5.49E+01	9.85E+00	-82%
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	3.34E-05	2.00E-05	-40%
AP	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.41E-02	1.40E-02	-1%
EP	kg N eq	8.09E-04	8.61E-04	+6%
SFP	kg O₃ eq	2.09E-01	1.66E-01	-21%
ADP <sub>fossil</sub>	MJ, LHV	6.85E+01	6.67E+01	-3%

#### 2.5 LEED v4.1 Credit

Based on the LEED v4.1 credit language in Table 1 and the Optimization Results presented in Table 5, this optimization qualifies for 2 product(s) in the LEED v4.1 credit calculation.

# 3. Impact Reduction Narrative

For GWP, ODP, and SFP the environmental impacts for FOAMULAR® NGX® are reduced by more than 20% compared to FOAMULAR®. The reduction in GWP is due to the change in the blowing agent used to manufacture the insulation product. The blowing agent blend used in FOAMULAR® NGX® has a significantly lower GWP than the blowing agent blend used in standard FOAMULAR® XPS insulation. Even though FOAMULAR® and FOAMULAR® NGX® XPS insulation use blowing agents with zero ozone depletion potential, the upstream life cycle of HFC 134a includes ODP emissions. The reduction in ODP can be attributed to the elimination of HFC 134a in the blowing agent used in FOAMULAR® NGX®. The reduction in SFP can be attributed to improvements in the transportation stages.

4

# 4. References

- LEED v4.1 Building Design and Construction, Getting started guide for beta participants. United States Green Building Council (USGBC). July 2023.
- PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services Part A: Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules and Report Requirements. Version 4.0. UL Environment. Mar. 2022.
- PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services Part B: Building Envelope Thermal Insulation EPD Requirements. Version 3.0. April 2023.
- Life Cycle Assessment of Owens Corning FOAMULAR® and FOAMULAR® NGX® extruded polystyrene insulation, October 2023
- Owens Corning's FOAMULAR® NGX® XPS insulation EPD, registered SCS-EPD-09753, January 10, 2024 January 9,2029
- Owens Corning's FOAMULAR® XPS Insulation EPD, registered UL 4788721182.101.1, January 1, 2019 January1, 2024

5

# For more information contact:



# **Owens Corning**

One Owens Corning Parkway, Toledo, OH, USA 1-800-GET-PINK (1-800-438-7465) www.owenscorning.com



# **SCS Global Services**

2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 USA Main +1.510.452.8000 | fax +1.510.452.8001

© 2024 SCSglobalServices.com